fore very rashly and absurdly blames Apicius, on account of certain preparations which to him, forfooth, were disrelishing . In short it is a known maxim, that de gustibus non est disputandum;

## And so Horace to the same purpose:

- · Tres mihi convivæ prope dissentire videntur,
- · Poscentes vario multum diversa palato.
- Quid dem? quid non dem? renuis tu quod jubet alter.
- Quod petis, id fane est invisum acidumque duobus.

Hor. II. Epist. ii.

And our Roll sussiciently verifies the old observation of Martial — ingeniosa gula est.

Our Cooks again had great regard to the eye, as well as the taste, in their compositions; flourishing and strewing are not only common, but even leaves of trees gilded, or silvered, are used for ornamenting messes, see N° 175 ". As to colours, which perhaps would chiefly take place in suttleties, blood boiled and fried (which seems to be something singular) was used for dying black, 13.141. sassron for yel-

<sup>&</sup>quot; Lister, Præf. ad Apicium, p. xi.

<sup>&</sup>quot; So we have lozengs of golde. Lel. Collect. IV. p. 227. and a wild boar's head golt, p. 294. A peacock with golt neb. VI. p. 6. Lecke Lambart golt, ibid.